

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

**COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS**

The court met in its courtroom at 1:30 P.M. Present: Honorable Steven M. Vartabedian, Acting Presiding Justice; Honorable Rebecca A. Wiseman, Associate Justice; Honorable Herbert I. Levy, Associate Justice; and Leisa V. Biggers, Clerk/Administrator, by Joe G. Lopez, Senior Deputy Clerk.

**F047264      Boykin et al. v. State Farm General Insurance Co.**

Cause called and argued by Sassoon Sales, Esq., counsel for appellants and by Kenneth Purviance, Esq., counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

**F047536      Hernandez et al. v. City of Hanford et al.**

Cause called and argued by Russell K. Ryan, Esq., counsel for appellants and by Rissa A. Stuart, Esq., counsel for respondents.

Cause ordered submitted.

Court recessed until Monday, March 13, 2006 at 1:45 P.M.

**F047912      Faeth v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, Farmers Ins. Co. et al.**

The Petition for Writ of Review filed May 5, 2005, is denied. This opinion is final forthwith as to this court.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

**F048729      People v. Flores**

The above-entitled case is submitted for decision.

**F048729      People v. Flores**

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

**F047530      People v. Miller**

The above-entitled case is submitted for decision.

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

**F047530      People v. Miller**

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

**F046836      Darling v. Darling**

Pursuant to written stipulation of the parties hereto, IT IS  
HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is  
dismissed.

**F047114      People v. Lopez**

Appellant's convictions in counts I and II are affirmed. The  
sentence imposed is vacated and the matter is remanded to the superior  
court with instructions to conduct a hearing within 30 days of the  
issuance of the remittitur to determine whether or not appellant faces a  
substantial likelihood of imminent deportation. If the superior court  
determines there is such a substantial likelihood, the court may  
immediately reinstate the judgment of sentence. If the superior court  
determines there is no such substantial likelihood, the court shall  
thereupon determine whether appellant is eligible for probation  
pursuant to Penal Code section 1210.1, subdivision (a). In either case  
the court shall issue a new abstract of judgment, and file certified  
copies of the abstract with all appropriate parties and entities. Harris,  
Acting P.J.

We concur: Gomes, J.; Dawson, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]